UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,724	09/21/2006	Hideaki Yajima	2006_1206A	2852
52349 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EXAMINER	
			PHANTANA ANGKOOL, DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,724	YAJIMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Phantana-angkool	2175
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrast 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	own from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and accomposition and accomposition is objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the control of the contro	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the following communications: RCE filed on February 9th, 2009.

- 2. Claims 14-17 are pending claims.
- 3. Applicants added claims 14-17.
- 4. Applicants canceled claims 1-13.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

5. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/09/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arend et al., US PG PUB# 2004/0230914 A1 (hereinafter Arend).

As for independent claim 14:

Arend shows a guidance display device, comprising:

a first display region in which to display contents (Fig. 2# 204);

Art Unit: 2175

a second display region other than said first display region (Fig. 2# 206);

• a guidance contents holding unit configured to hold first guidance display contents and second guidance display contents, the first guidance display contents corresponding to said first display region on a one-to-one basis and indicating processing for operating contents displayed in said first display region (Arend shows indicating processing for operating contents displayed in said first display region in Fig. 1# 212, 214 and 216), and the second guidance display contents corresponding to said second display region on a one-to-one basis and indicating processing for operating contents displayed in said second display region (0032, 0039; Arend shows indicating processing for operating contents displayed in said second display region in Fig. 1# 220);

 a guidance synthesis unit configured to synthesize the first guidance display contents and the second guidance display contents; and a display unit configured to display, on a screen, the contents displayed in said first and said second display regions and guidance contents synthesized by said guidance synthesis unit (0037 and 0039).

As for dependent claim 15:

Arend shows the guidance display device according to Claim 14, further comprising:

- a judging unit; and a third display region including said first display region and said second
 display region, wherein said guidance contents holding unit is configured to hold third guidance
 display contents corresponding to said third display region on a one-to-one basis and indicating
 processing for operating contents displayed in said third display region, said judging unit is
 configured to judge whether or not the contents displayed in said first or said second display
 region are to be operated (Fig. 2# 208, Para. 0040);
- said synthesis unit is configured to synthesize the first guidance display contents and the third guidance display contents when the contents displayed in said first display region are judged to be operated (0040 and 0041).

As for dependent claim 16:

Arend shows the guidance display device according to Claim 14, wherein when change in display contents on the screen causes change in the number of display regions, guidance display contents

Art Unit: 2175

corresponding to a plurality of display regions after the change are synthesized, respectively, according to the change in the display contents on the screen (see corresponding function in 0044).

As for independent claim 17:

Claim 17 contains substantial similar subject matter as claimed in claims 14, and is respectfully rejected along the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Phantana-angkool whose telephone number is 571-272-2673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on 571-272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2175

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David Phantana-angkool/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175

Paul Floren

/William L. Bashore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175